Dear Ed

numerous)

Thanks for the cultures and the lists. Y-38 checked out to be prototrophic; Y-43 to be methionineless; repeated) single colony isolations from both gave no arginineless, so we have to presume this is lost by reversion. I was interested in Y-43, of course, to try to recheck its fertility with K-12 testers, but it seems unworthwhile to pursue the matter now.

I don't know how this happened, but I have to mention that in our paper in J. Bact. (1947) at p. 675, table 1, the stock list shows some distrepancies with present listing, Y-44 and Y-45 there corresponding to what we now have as Y-43 and Y-44. For future records, I suggest that we follow the <u>published</u> listing, and throw out any culture listed as (E-45 = T+L-B₁- from Y-10). Since the arginine requirement is gone, the issue may never come up.

Yoursa

P.S. AAAS sent me two capies of the sex symposium. They said nothing about it, but I assume that you received a pair also. If not, and one is intended for you, just give methe word.